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## CHAPTER I

## NATURE OF THE STUDY

In our modern technological society, higher education has become increasingly necessary. To meet the needs of those students planning to attend college, administrators, guidance counselors, and faculty members need to take a serious look at high school records to determine their value in predicting success in college. A method should then be devised to use this information in assisting students as they continue their education.

The importance of the student's obtaining a realistic understanding of college requirements must be accepted by the reader before this study will be of value to him.

## I. THE PROBLEM

Statement of the problem. The purpose of this study was to relate the scholastic achievement of certain freshmen at Austin Peay State University with their high school class rank, ACT scores, high school grade point averages, and I.Q. scores.

Importance of the study. The importance of counseling high school students for a future that will be rewarding to them and their society must be recognized by educators. Educators must determine a basis for counseling their students. This study was concerned with drawing some conclusions that could provide this basis for counseling students who aspire to attain a college education.

It was felt the study would provide criteria for considering the relationship between certain variables--grade point average, ACT scores, I.Q. score, and class rank--and college success.

Delimitations of the study. The subjects used in the sample were ninety-nine graduates of Clarksville High School, Clarksville, Tennessee, forty-nine male and fifty female, of the 1967 class of 480 students. These students became freshmen at Austin Peay State University, Clarksville, Tennessee, during the 1967-68 school year.

Limitations of the study. The number of subjects in the sample was limited to ninety-nine by the following criteria:

1. Those graduates who had taken the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale during their junior year in high school.
2. Those students who had an ACT score recorded on their permanent record card.
3. Those freshmen who had completed a minimum of thirty-six quarter hours of college courses.

The sample was limited to students of the freshman class of Austin Peay State University in 1967-1968. Austin Peay State University is a regional state university primarily concerned with meeting the educational needs of the people of its surrounding area.

No method was devised to determine the effect of motivation on the sample's degree of achievement at the high school or university levels.

It was determined that sociability could not be used as a valid
factor because there was no method of distinguishing voluntary and compulsory membership in organizations and because of a lack of consistency in recording participation in activities.

Assumptions. The author assumed the recorded data taken from the permanent record cards of the students were valid and correctly recorded by the high school administrative staff.

It was assumed that the ninety-nine students selected for the study were a valid sample of the Clarksville High School graduates who attended Austin Peay State University.

Perhaps the primary assumption of this study was that the reader would be able to use the results of this study to form a basis for developing a systematic method of providing high school students with pre-university counseling which would aid in their succeeding in a college or university.

## II. DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED

Achievement. Achievement was that which had been accomplished through educational performance measured in the form of grade point average of his cumulative grades during the student's freshman year of college. Achievement was further delineated into levels for the purpose of this study. They were as follows:

1. Level I: a grade point average within the 3.5 to 4.0 range on a four point system.
2. Level II: a grade point average within the 3.0 to 3.4 range.
3. Level III: a grade point average within the 2.5 to 2.9 range.
4. Level IV: a grade point average within the 2.0 to 2.4 range.
5. Level V: a grade point average of 1.9 and below.

American College Test (ACT). A national college admissions test that is generally considered a valid measurement of a student's academic potential for college.

Class rank. The numerical rating of students in relation to their peers, based on grade point average.

Grade point average (GPA). The numerical equivalent of a student's cunulative letter grades on the high school and university levels. The grades were assigned the following weights: $A=4 ; B=3 ; C=2 ; D=1$; and, $F=0$.

Intelligence quotient (I.Q.). The numerical measurement that indicated the student's intelligence level and which was recorded on the student's permanent records. In each case, the scores were a result of the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale administered to the subjects while they were juniors, 1966-67.

## III. M THOD OF PROCEDURE

Method of collection. The data used in this study were obtained from Clarksville High School, Clarksville, Tennessee. High school grade point average, class rank, ACT test results and I.Q. scores were taken
from the permanent record cards of the 1967 graduating class. The college grade point averages were secured from student grade reports which had been sent to Clarksville High School.

Each college grade report had the number of hours attempted, hours completed, and a cumulative grade point average. Only those students who had completed thirty-six quarter hours at Austin Peay State University in 1967-68 were included in this study.

Treatment of the data. The students were divided into five levels detemined by their cumulative grade point average obtained during their freshman year in college. For each of the levels, the range, median, and mean were calculated for the four variables (ACT scores, GPA, I.Q. and class rank) studied.

Following the presentation of the data in table form, a brief discussion of relationships between college GPA and the high school variables ensued.
IV. ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY

The first chapter was designed to introduce the reader to the nature of the study, the problem and its importance, delimitations, Iimitations, assumptions, and the definitions of terms used. The second chapter was concemed with a comprehensive discussion of the pertinent Iiterature related to the problem. Chapter III was a presentation and analysis of the data. The summary and tentative conclusions which resulted from the study were discussed in Chapter IV.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEN OF ReLated LITERATURE

## I. INTRODUC'TION

College achievement as related to high school grade point average, class rank, I.Q. scores, and ACT test scores was the subject of this study. The most recent literature concerning these variables was organized in this chapter under the topic headings Achievement and High School Grade Point Average, Achievement and Class Rank, Achievement and I.Q. Test Results, and Achievement and American College Testing Program Scores.
II. ACHIEVEMENT AND HIGH SCHOOL GRADE POINT AVERAGE

Richards, Holland, and Lutz studied the "Prediction of Student Accomplishment in Collegel in an attempt to determine the effect of social success in high school on college achievement. The most notable result concerning academic criteria was that "...the most consistently high predictor is high school grades...." However, the study also stated that a method using a combination of high school grades and ACT test scores is an even better source of prediction. ${ }^{1}$

In his book, College Student Profiles, concerning the American

[^0]Collece Testing Progran, Munday points out the high correlation between ACI scores and high school and college achievement. The use of GPA to Jetcrmine acrievement in high school and college showed that the official writer for the American College Testing Program recognized the significance of high school graces as a valid predictor. ${ }^{2}$

Holland and Nichols found in a study concerning academic and extracurricular achievement in college "that achievement in high school is the best predictor of college success, or past performance predicts future performance." The study further concluded that their results revealed that they can predict achievement with the best combinations of a variety of academic and sociological tests better than the variety of more elaborate and expensive predictors. ${ }^{3}$

Austin Peay State University established as one of its criteria Por admissjon during the fall quarter a minimum grade point average of 2.25 ( 4 point range), or its equivalent, for all students. The administration certainly felt GPA is a factor in predicting achievenent. 4
${ }^{2}$ Leo A. Munday, College Student Profiles (Iowa City, Iowa: The Anerican College Testing Program, Inc., 1965), pp. 2-6.

3J. I. Holland and R. C. Nichols, "Prediction of Academic and wxtracurricular Achievement, " Journal of Educational Psychology, 55:55-65, Feoruary, 1964.
1968), $\frac{4_{\text {Bulletin }}^{29}}{\text { P. }}$ of Austin Peay State University, Vol. 38, No. I (April,

## III. ACHIEVEMENT AND CLASS PANK

Humphreys studied the relationship between class rank and ACT test results as predictors of achievement at the University of Illinois. The study concluded that class rank was a better predictor of success during the freshman year in college. However, the ACT test was the best predictor of success as the students continued through eight semesters of college. 5

The Austin Peay State University Bulletin states that "admission will not usually be granted to an out-of-state applicant unless such applicant ranks in the upper one-half of his class...." Thus class rank is a factor to be faced to gain acceptance, and consequently, failure or success. ${ }^{6}$

## IV. ACHIEVEMENT AND I.Q. TEST RESULTS

Cicirelli studied the "Fom of the Relationship Between Creativity, I.Q., and Academic Achievement" among younger students than those for which this study is designed; however, the results are significant for this study. Although he found little correlation between creativity and intelligence, Cicirelli stated that achievement in language and mathematics corresponded with I.Q. scores.

There is a definite relation between achievement potential and

[^1]achievement, but such factors as family structure, cultural environment, and teaching methods may affect achievement, states Cicirelli. ${ }^{7}$

## V. ACHIEVEMENT AND ACT TEST SCORES

Munday studied the reliability of ACT test results and high school GPA as predictors of college grades. The study concluded that both ACT scores and high school grades are valid predictors. The study also found that by combining ACT scores and GPA, the validity in predicting academic potential is increased. ${ }^{8}$

Funches, in "Correlations Between Secondary School Transcript Average and Grade Point Averages and Between ACT scores and Grade Point Averages of Freshmen at Jackson State College," found that there was a positive correlation between the ACT scores and high school transcript averages and first term grades on the freshman level. The degree of correlation was much higher and considered more reliable using the ACT scores, however. ${ }^{9}$

An ACT score of fifteen (in-state applicants) or seventeen (out-of-state applicants) is a part of the requirements for admission to

7Victor G. Cicirelli, "Fom of Relationship Between Creativity, I.Q., and Academic Achievement," Journal of Educational Psychology, 56:303-308, June, 1965.

8Leo Munday, "Predicting College Grades Using ACT Data," Educational and Psychological Measurement, $27: 401-406$, Surnmer, 1967.

9DeLars Funches, "Correlations Between Secondary Transcript Averages, and Grade Point Averages and Between ACT Scores and Grade Point Averages of Freshmen at Jackson State College," College and University, 43:52-54, Fall, 1967.

Austin Peay State University. For the in-state applicant, this is in lieu of meeting a minimum grade point average requirement designated by the institution. ${ }^{10}$

## VI. SUMMARY

This review of the related literature may best be summarized by citing a study conducted by Plapp, Psathas, and Caputo. In this study conceming predicting the performance of nursing students during their first year, they investigated the reliability of intelligence test results, a scholastic aptitude test (ACT and SAT), high school rank, and a self rating of high school performance. The results of the study showed that "...there was no significant advantage to using combined predictors, and that no individual predictor has a general superiority over any other. "11

There is a definite lack of agreement as to the best method of predicting success expressed in the studies reviewed. However, the acceptance of the ACT test seems to be recognized, as well as high school GPA, as the most valid methods of prediction.

10Bulletin of Austin Peay State University, loc. cit.
IlJon M. Plapp, George Psathas, and David V. Caputo, "Intellective Predictors of Success," Educational and Psychological Measurement, 25:565-577, Summer, 1965.

## CHAPTER III

## PRESENTATICN AND ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

## I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this chapter was to present the data concerming certain characteristics of selected high school students in relation to their academic achievement in college. In accordance with the delimitations of this study, the subjects were ninety-nine graduates, forty-nine male and fifty female, of a class of 480 from Clarksville High School, Clarksville, Tennessee, in 1967. All of the students involved in this study attended Austin Peay State University as freshmen in the school year, 1967-68, and completed at least thirty-six quarter hours of study.

The students were distributed into five levels of academic achievement based on grade point average in college. This chapter was designed to present these levels and discuss the relationships with (I) GPA attained in high school, (2) class rank in high school graduating class, (3) ACT scores obtained during senior year in high school, and, (4) I.Q. scores recorded during their junior year in high school.

## II. LEVEL I

The highest official honor for academic achievement at Austin Peay State University is the "Dean's List." ${ }^{12}$ Six of the ten students in

$$
{ }^{12} \text { Bulletin of Austin Peay State University, op. cit., p. } 34 \text {. }
$$

Level I met the grade point requirements for this honor. It can be seen from Table I that the mean (3.7) and median (3.8) college GPA scores, ranging from 3.5 to 3.9 , were above that requirement (3.65).

## TABLE I

COLLLGE GPA OF 3.5-4.0 AND HIGH SCHCOL CHARACTERISTICS (LEVEL I)


High school GPA ranged from 3.0 to 3.9 , with a mean of 3.6 and a median of 3.5 . However, the GPA for males at the high school level (with a mean of 3.4 and median of 3.3) was significantly lower than at the college level, while the female GPA's were practically identical at the hish school and the college levels.

Class rank in high school ranged from fourth to eighty-third in the class, with a mean of twenty-seven and one-half, and a median of fifteen and one-half. The reason for such a wide range between mean and
median was due to three class ranks of eighty-three, fifty-nine, and fort . These three class ranks were characteristic of males (mean of Sorty-nine and median of fortor-nine and one-half), while the females had a. mean and median class rank of thirteen, with no rank above twenty-nine. The student whose class rank was eighty-third was in the upper seventeen purtent of his class.

ACT scores ranged from eighteen to twenty-eirht, with a mean of twenty-three and one-half and a median of twenty-three. The females ' means and modians were from eight-tenths of one point to one point higher respectively than males'.

The I.Q. scores for Level I ranged from 105 to 131 with a mean of 119.9 and a median of 120. The mean scores for males and females varied by four points (117.5 and 121.5, respectively), and the median scores ( 115 and 125 respectively) varied by ten points ( 115 and 125 respectively).

The overall distribution of the I.Q. scores, as seen in
Appendicies A, revealed that one score fell in the 100 to 109 range, four in the 120 to 129 range, and one in the 130 to 139 range. Further investisation indicated the distribution was skewed toward the upper limits of the range, with only one score below 110 .

## III. LLVEL II

Level II, shown in Table II, was concemed with the characteristics of the high school students who maintained a college GPA within the 3.0 to 3.4 range. Their college GPA range had a mean and nedian
of 3.2. The means and medians for the male and female divisions at the college level were 3.2 in each case.

TABLE II
COLLEGE GPA OF 3.0-3.4 AND HIGH SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS (LEVEL II)

|  | COITEGE <br> GPA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IGH SCHCOL } \\ & \text { GPA } \end{aligned}$ | CIASS RANK | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACT } \\ \text { SCORE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I.Q. } \\ & \text { SCORE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COIBINED (12 STUDENTS) |  |  |  |  |
| RANGE | 3.0-3.4 | 1.9-3.9 | 10-355 | 16-25 | 103-128 |
| TEAN | 3.2 | 2.8 | 139.9 | 21.4 | 117.9 |
| IILDIAN | 3.2 | 2.8 | 147 | 21.5 | 118 |
| MALES (7 STUDENTS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| RANGE | 3.0-3.4 | 1.9-3.7 | 19-355 | 16-25 | 103-128 |
| MLAN | 3.2 | 2.7 | 161 | 22.3 | 118.9 |
| MEDIAN | 3.2 | 2.7 | 146 | 23 | 121 |
| FEMALES (5 STUDENTS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rainge | 3.1-3.4 | 2.5-3.9 | 10-184 | 18-22 | 107-126 |
| MEAN | 3.2 | 3.0 | 110.4 | 20.2 | 116.6 |
| MEDIAN | 3.2 | 2.8 | 128 | 21 | 116 |

As the college and high school GPA ranges, means, and medians were compared, a wide variation was noted. The range at the high school level was 1.9 to 3.9 (with a mean and median of 2.8 overall), 1.9 to 3.7 at the male level (with a mean and median of 2.7), and ranged from 2.5 to 3.9 (with a mean 3.0 and a median of 2.8 ) at the female level. Of the twelve subjects listed at Level II (seen in Appendicies A), three had a high school GPA of 3.0 .

High school class ranks for Level II students ranged from tenth to 355 in their class, with a mean of 139.9 and a median of 147 . The range
of difference in mean and median was primarily due to three low scores (which were ten, nineteen, and sixty-three) and two high scores (201 and 355).

The male class rank mean (161) was much higher than the female mean (110.4) because of the locatjon of one low and both higher class ranks within the range. The median rankings showed this also, but with a difference of much less. Nine of the twelve class ranks were in the upper one-third or higher of their high school class.

The ACT and I.Q. variables showed some unusual circumstances. Of the variables concerning ACT and I.Q. scores, males had higher means and medians than the fernales. Six of the seven males had equal or higher ACT test results than the highest female score (see Appendicies A).
I.Q. scores fell within a range of 103 to 128 (with a mean of 117.9 and a median of 118). Nine of the I.Q. scores were above 116, the female median; six of the nine were males. This attributed to the higher mean and median I.Q. scores for males.

## IV. LEVEL III

Level III, as seen in Table III, was concermed with the high school characteristics of students who had established a college GPA for the range 2.5 to 2.9. The college GPA for Level III had mean and median scores of 2.7. The college mean (2.7) and median (2.8) scores for males were slightly higher than for females, who had mean and median GPA's of 2.6 .

The mean and median high school GPA's of the combined, males, and fenales categories were higher than college GPA's in every case. The
combined range of high school GPA was 1.9 to 3.9 , with a mean and median of 2.9. Seventeen of the twenty-five subjects had a high school GPA higher than their college GPA. The male mean, and the female mean and median, of high school GPA's were 2.9, while the male median was 3.1. This indicates a consistency of from two-tenths to three-tenths of one point higher GPA at the high school level than on the college level.

## TABIE III

CCLLEGL GPA OF 2.5-2.9 AND HIGH SCHOOL CHARACTEPISTICS (LEVEL III)

| COLJEGE | HIGH SCHOCL | CLASS | ACT | I.Q. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPA | GPA | RANK | SCORE | SCORE | COMBINED ( 25 STUDENTS)


| RANGE | $2.5-2.9$ | $1.9-3.9$ | $9-361$ | $12-29$ | $86-137$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIEAN | 2.7 | 2.9 | 120 | 20.4 | 115.9 |
| ITHDIAN | 2.7 | 2.9 | 95 | 20 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| RANGE | $2.5-2.9$ | $1.9-3.9$ | $9-361$ | $13-29$ | $86-137$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IEMAN | 2.7 | 2.9 | 117.5 | 22.3 | 115.7 |
| MLDIAN | 2.8 | 3.1 | 34 | 22 | 118 |

FEMALES (6 STUDINTS)
RANGE $2.5-2.8 \quad 2.0-3.6$
MILANT 2.6 2.9
3IDIAN 2.6 2.9
20-317
122.3
1041817

Class rank ranged from ninth to 361 (with a mean of 120 and a median of ninety-five). Mean, in this case, seemed to be a better method of calculation because fifteen of the twenty-five students, eight male and seven female, ranked in the upper fourth of their class. As is seen in Appendicies A, mean and median class ranks were lower for males
than for females due to the larger number of females beyond the upper fourth of the class.

ACT scores ranged from trielve to twenty-nine (with a mean of twenty and four-tenths and a median of twenty). Male mean (twenty-two and three-tenths) and median (twenty-two) scores were higher than the female man (eighteen and seven-tenths) and median (eighteen). The reason for this was that eight of the twelve scores recorded for males were twenty or above and only six of thirteen scores were twenty or above for females.

The I. . scores for Level IIT ranged from eighty-six to 137, with a mean of 115.9 and a median of 117 . The male and female mean scores and median scores were consistent with the combined mean and median.

## V. LieveL IV

The characteristics of high school students who achieved a GPA of 2.0 to 2.4 was the concem of Level IV. As seen from Table IV, the college GPA range of Level IV had a mean and median of 2.3 in the combined, male, and female categories.

The high school GPA ranged from 2.1 to 3.7, with a mean and median of 2.9. The mean and median high school GPA scores for males and females were consistent with the combined high school mean and median GPA, except for a one-tenth of a point difference in the female mean. Ten of the students had a high school GPA of 3.0 or better and nineteen had a high school GPA of 2.5 or better.

Class rank in high school had a range of fifteen to 306 (with a
mean and median of 128.6 and ninety-eight respectively). The reason for such a difference in mean and median was due to the location of twelve of twenty-three of the subjects in the upper fourth of their high school class.

Male and fernale high school class rank means were relatively consistent. However, the difference in median class rank was due to a more consistent distribution of fenales in the class rank continuum.

## TABLE IV

COLLIGE GPA OF 2.0-2.4 AID HIGH SCHOOL CHARACTERTSTICS (LEVEL IV)

| COLLEGE FIGH SCHOCL | CLASS | ACT | I.Q. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPA | GPA | RANK | SCORE | SCORL |

COMBINED (23 STUDENTS)

| RAIVGE | $2.0-2.4$ | $2.1-3.7$ | $15-306$ | $11-26$ | $93-137$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEAN | 2.3 | 2.9 | 128.6 | 18.5 | 114.1 |
| MEDIAN | 2.3 | 2.9 | 98 | 18 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MALFS (12 STUDENTS) |  |  |


| RANGE | 2.1-2.4 | 2.1-3.7 | 15-306 | 14-26 | 93-137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITLAN | 2.3 | 2.9 | 129.2 | 20.8 | 119.8 |
| MEDIAN | 2.3 | 2.9 | 105 | 21 | 121.5 |
|  |  |  | FEMALIS (11 S |  |  |
| RANGL | 2.0-2.4 | 2.1-3.6 | 25-302 | 11-23 | 95-120 |
| MHant | 2.3 | 2.8 | 127.9 | 16.1 | 107.8 |
| MHDIAN | 2.3 | 2.9 | 98 | 15 | 109 |

ACT scores ranged from eleven to twenty-six (with a mean of eighteen and one-half and a median of eighteen). The male mean and median (twenty and eight-tenths and twenty-one respectively) ACT scores were considerably higher than the female mean and median
(sixteen and one-tenth and Ifiteen respectively). The reason for such a wide variation in ACT mean and median was because the males had nine of twelve scores of eighteen or above, while the fernales had three of eleven scores of eighteen or above.

As seen in Appendicies A, the I.Q. scores followed a pattern similar to the ACr scores. The male I.Q. scores were much higher than the female scores, causing a broad variation in the mean (male 119.8, female 107.8) and median (male 121.5, female 109) scores within a range of ninety-three to 137 .

## VI. LEVEL V

Level $V$, shown in Table $V$, was concemed with the high school characteristics of students who maintained a college GPA within the . 0 to 1.9 range. The actual college GPA range in this division was 1.I to 1.9 , with a mean score of 1.7 and a median score of 1.8. The combined college mean and median scores were consistent with the male and female categories (means 1.7 and 1.8 , and medians 1.8 and 1.8 , respectively).

The high school GPA variable was consistently higher than the college GPA. Within the range of 1.8 to 3.2 , the mean and median for high school GPA was 2.4. However, the male high school GPA mean and median were two-tenths of a point lower than the fenale high school GPA mean and median. For the combined groups, only two students had a high school GPA of 3.0 or better.

Class rank in high school ranged from fifty-four to 382 (with a
mean and median of 219.7 and 226 respectively). This placed them in the upper half of their class. However, only six of the twenty-nine students in this level were in the upper third of their class.

## TABLE V

COLLEGE GPA OF . O-1. 9 AND HIGH SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS (LEVEL V)

| COLLEGE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| GPA | GPA SCHCOL | CLASS | ACT | I.Q. |



| RANGE | $1.5-1.9$ | $2.0-3.0$ | $81-315$ | $9-23$ | $94-137$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEAIN | 1.8 | 2.5 | 200.4 | 15.9 | 109.5 |
| MEDIAN | 1.8 | 2.5 | 196 | 16 | 107 |

The male and female high school class rank range, mean, and median varied considerably. As seen in Appendicies A, the males had considerably lower class rankings overall.

ACT test scores ranged from nine to twenty-four (with a mean of sixteen and eight-tenths and a median of sixteen). Of the students in Level V, only six had a score of twenty or higher, while fifteen had scores of sixteen, the Level VACT median, or lower. The males had higher ACT scores (seventeen and eight-tenths and sixteen and one-half,
respoctively) than did the females (fifteen and nine-tenths and sixteen, respectively). Only two females had scores of twenty or better.

The I.Q. Scores for Level V ranged from ninety-four to 137 (with a mean of 109.8 and a median of 109). The male I.Q. scores had a mean of 110.1 and median of 112, while the female I.Q. mean was 109.5 and the median was 107. Of the twenty-nine cases in Level $V$, four had an I.Q. score of 120 or higher.

## VII. COMPARISCN CF HIGH SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Table VI was designed to be used for the comparison of the various college levels of achievement and high school characteristics to determine any consistencies that had arisen statistically.

Hish school GPA was relatively consistent with college at Level I. However, as college GPA scores at lower levels were compared with high school GPA scores at lower levels, the high school GPA scores were higher than college GPA scores. High school GPA was inconsistent in relation to college GPA.

Class rank was also inconsistent as mean and median scores were compared. As seen in Table VI, a higher mean and median class rank at Level II than at Levels III and IV indicated this inconsistency.

ACT mean and median scores indicated a consistent regression from Lcvel I throuch Level $V$. The difference between levels showed a normal difference in scores from two to one and one-half in the median colurn. In the mean colum, the difference is no greater than two, or no less than one.
I.Q. mean and median scores showed a regression similar to that of the ACT scores. The I.Q. score regression was true from Level I through Level IV, with an approximate difference of two. However, Level V had a difference of five from Level IV.

## TABIE VI

COMPARISCN OF ALL Leveis And HIGH SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

| IWVEL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COIJEGE } \\ & \text { GPA } \end{aligned}$ | \#IGH SCHOOI GPA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS } \\ & \text { RAIVK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { ACT }} \\ & \text { SCORE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I.Q. } \\ & \text { SCORE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RANGE |  |  |  |  |
| I. | 3.5-3.9 | 3.0-3.9 | 4-83 | 18-28 | 105-131 |
| II. | 3.0-3.4 | 1.9-3.9 | 10-355 | 16-25 | 103-128 |
| III. | 2.5-2.9 | 1.9-3.9 | 9-361 | 12-29 | 86-137 |
| IV. | 2.0-2.4 | 2.2-3.7 | 15-306 | 11-26 | 93-137 |
| V . | 1.1-1.9 | 1.8-3.2 | 54-382 | 9-24 | 94-137 |
| MEAN |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. | 3.7 | 3.6 | 27.5 | 23.5 | 119.9 |
| II. | 3.2 | 2.8 | 139.9 | 21.4 | 117.9 |
| III. | 2.7 | 2.9 | 120 | 20.4 | 115.9 |
| IV. | 2.3 | 2.9 | 128.6 | 18.5 | 114.1 |
| $\checkmark$. | 1.7 | 2.4 | 219.7 | 16.8 | 109.8 |
| MEDIAN |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. | 3.8 | 3.5 | 15.5 | 23 | 120 |
| II. | 3.2 | 2.8 | 147 | 21.5 | 118 |
| III. | 2.7 | 2.7 | 95 | 18 | 114 |
| IV. | 2.3 | 2.9 | 226 | 16 | 109 |
| V. | 1.8 | 2.4 | 22 |  |  |

## CHAPTER IV

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In an attempt to help guidance counselors, administrative and faculty members determine criteria for counseling prospective college students, this study was devised to relate some variables available at the high school level to academic achievement in college. The study was confined to students who had graduated from Clarksville High School, Clarksville, Tennessee, in 1967, had taken the American College Test their senior year, had an I.Q. score from the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale taken their junior year, had attended Austin Peay State University as freshmen during the 1967-68 school year, and had completed thirty-six quarter hours of study during their freshman year.

The students were divided into five levels of achievement based on GPA during their freshman year of college. The four high school variables-GPA, class rank, ACT scores, and I.Q. scores--were distributed into ranges. Means and medians were calculated for each variable and at each level to aid in determining relationships between the variables and college achievement.

As the statistical information was considered, descriptions of each level became apparent. As a result of this study, limited to the Clarksville High School graduates who attended Austin Peay State University during the 1967-68 school year, the following was true of each level.

Level I. Level I students had a high school GPA no lower than 3.0. ifale students had a mean of 3.4 and females had a mean of 3.8 . These students had a mean class rank of twenty-seven and one-half, which put
them in the top six percent. However, one male student ranked as low as eighty-third in his class which put him in the upper seventeen percent of his class.

An ACT score of twenty-three and five-tenths was average. A score of eighteen was the lowest received with eight of the ten students scoring twenty-three or higher. An I.Q. score of 119.9 was the average score for this group of students.

The typical Level I student was characterized by: (1) a high school GPA between 3.4 and 3.8 ; (2) a high school graduating class rank of twentyseven and one-half; (3) an ACT score between eighteen and twenty-eight; and, (4) an I.Q. score of 120 .

Level II. Level II had a wide range in high school GPA. Nine of the twelve students had a grade point average in high school lower than the college mean GPA score. These students had a mean class rank of 139.9 which put them in the top twenty-nine percent. However, four of the twelve students ranked below the upper third of their class.

An ACI score of twenty-one and four-tenths was average. A score of sixteen was the lowest received with nine of the twelve students scoring twenty-one or higher. An I.Q. score of 117.9 was the average score for this group of students.

The typical Level II student was characterized by: (1) a high school GPA between 1.9 and 3.9; (2) a high school graduating class rank of 139.9; (3) an ACT score between sixteen and twenty-five; and, (4) an I.Q. score of 118 .

Level III. Level III students had a wide range in high school GPA. Seventeen of the twenty-five students had a high school GPA higher than the college mean CPA. These students had a mean class rank of 120 which put them in the top twenty-five percent. However, one male student ranked 361 in his class which put him slishtly above the seventy-fifth percent of his class.

An ACr score of twenty and four-tenths was average. A score of twelve was the lowest received with fourteen of the twenty-five students scoring twenty or higher. An I.Q. Score of 175.9 was the average score for this rroup of students.

The typical Level III student was characterized by: (I) a high school GPA between 1.9 and 3.9; (2) a high school graduating class rank of 120; (3) an ACT score between twelve and twenty-nine; and, (4) an I.G. score of 115.9 .

Level IV. Level IV students had a high school GPA no lower than 2.1. However, ten of the twenty-three students had a 3.0 or higher high school GPA. These students had a nean class rank of 128.6 which put ther in the top twenty-seven percent. However, seven of the twenty-three students ranked below the upper third of their class.

An ACT score of eighteen and five-tenths was average. A score of eleven was the lowest with eleven of the twenty-tirree students scoring twenty or higher.

An I.e. score of 114.I was the average score for this group of students.

The trpical Level IV student was characterized by: (1) a high
school GPA between 2.1 and 3.7; (2) a high school graduating class rank of 123.6 ; (3) an ACI score betweon eleven and twenty-six; and, (4) an I. . score of II4.I.

Level V. Level $V$ students had a high school GPA no higher than 3.2. OnIy two students had a high school GPA of 3.0 or higher. The Level $V$ students had a mean class rank of 219.7 which put then in the top forty-six percent.

An ACT score of sixteen and eight-tenths was average. A score of twenty-four was the highest with five of the twenty-nine students scoring twenty or highcr. An I.Q. score of 109.8 was the average score for this group of students.

The typical Level $V$ student was characterized by: (I) a high school GPA between 1.8 and 3.2; (2) a high school graduating class rank of 219.7; (3) an ACT score between nine and twenty-four; and, (4) an I.Q. score of 109.8.

Comparison of high school characteristics. The high school GPA mean and median scores showed little consistency with college GPA mean and median scores. Class rank mean and median scores showed little consistency with college GPA levels of achievement.

A consistent recression in ACT mean and median scores became apparent from Level I through Level $V$.

A consistent regression in I.Q. mean and median scores from Level I throush Level IV became apparent. However, the variance nore than doubled from Level IV to Level $V$.
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## APPENDIX A

COMPLETE ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL TABLES

LEVEL I

| CCILEGE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPA | GPA SCHOL | CLASS | ACT | I.Q. |
|  | RANK | SCORE | SCORE |  |


|  | 3.9 <br> 3.9 <br> 3.8 <br> 3.8 <br> 3.8 <br> 3.7 <br> 3.6 <br> 3.5 <br> 3.5 <br> 3.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 3.7 \\ & 3.4 \\ & 3.9 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | 12 17 40 5 59 13 29 83 14 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 23 \\ & 20 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \\ & 27 \\ & 18 \\ & 26 \\ & 23 \\ & 28 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 114 \\ & 117 \\ & 131 \\ & 111 \\ & 129 \\ & 105 \\ & 129 \\ & 113 \\ & 127 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 37.0 | 36.0 | 276 | 235 | 1199 |
| MAAN | $3.7$ | 3.6 | 27.6 | 23.5 | 119.9 |
| MEDIAN | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ 3.5-3.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 3.5-3.9 \end{gathered}$ | 15.5 | 23 | 120 |
| RANGE | $3.5-3.9$ | 3.5-3.9 | 4-83 | 18-28 |  |

MALES

|  | 3.8 | 3.4 | 40 | 20 | 117 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.8 | 3.2 | 59 | 23 | 111 |  |
|  | 3.5 | 3.8 | 14 | 23 | 113 |
|  | 3.5 | 3.0 | 83 | 26 | 129 |
|  |  | 14.6 | 196 | 92 | 470 |
| TOTAL | 14.6 | 13.4 | 49 | 23 | 117.5 |
| MLAN | 3.7 | 3.4 | 49.5 | 23 | 115 |
| RIDIAN | 3.7 | 3.3 | $14-83$ | $20-26$ | $111-129$ |

FEMALES

|  | 3.9 | 3.8 | 12 | 24 | 123 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.9 | 3.7 | 17 | 23 | 114 |
|  | 3.8 | 3.9 | 5 | 23 | 131 |
|  | 3.7 | 3.8 | 13 | 27 | 129 |
|  | 3.6 | 3.5 | 29 | 18 | 105 |
|  | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4 | 28 | 127 |
| TOTAL | 22.4 | 22.6 | 80 | 143 | 729 |
| ineait | 3.7 | 3.8 | 13 | 23.8 | 121.5 |
| ILDIAS | 3.8 | 3.8 | 13 | 24 | 125 |
| RANGE | 3.5-3.9 | $3.5-3.9$ | 4-29 | 18-28 | 105-131 |

LEVEL II

|  | COLLEGE GPA | $\begin{gathered} \text { HIGH SCHOOL } \\ \text { GPA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CILASS RAINK | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACT } \\ \text { SCORE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T.Q. } \\ & \text { SCORE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | COVBINED |  |  |
|  | 3.4 | 3.9 | 10 | 22 | 120 |
|  | 3.4 | 2.8 | 120 | 21 | 121 |
|  | 3.4 | 2.7 | 138 | 24 | 111 |
|  | 3.3 | 3.7 | 19 | 25 | 116 |
|  | 3.3 | 2.5 | 184 | 21 | 107 |
|  | 3.2 | 2.6 | 167 | 19 | 126 |
|  | 3.2 | 2.9 | 148 | 23 | 125 |
|  | 3.1 | 3.2 | 63 | 21 | 116 |
|  | 3.1 | 2.8 | 128 | 18 | 114 |
|  | 3.0 | 2.7 | 146 | 23 | 128 |
|  | 3.0 | 2.4 | 201 | 24 | 128 |
|  | 3.0 | 1.9 | 355 | 16 | 103 |
| Tomal | 38.4 | 34.1 | 1679 | 257 | 1415 |
| IEAN | 3.2 | 2.8 | 139.9 | 21.4 | 117.9 |
| MEDIAN | 3.2 | 2.8 | 147 | 21.5 | 118 |
| RAINGE | 3.0-3.4 | 1.9-3.9 | 10-355 | $16-25$ | 103-128 |

MALSS


FEMATIS


LEVEL III

| COLLEGE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPA | HIGH SCHOOL | CLASS | ACA | A.Q. |
|  |  |  | RANK | SCORE |

COMBINED

|  | 2.9 | 3.9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.9 | 3.3 | 9 43 | 29 | 121 |
|  | 2.9 | 2.9 | 93 | 26 | 137 |
|  | 2.9 | 2.8 | 93 132 | 25 | 115 |
|  | 2.8 | 2.9 | 111 | 24 | 120 |
|  | 2.8 | 2.9 | 104 | 16 | 124 |
|  | 2.8 | 1.9 | 361 | 19 | 121 |
|  | 2.8 | 3.0 | 86 | 18 | 120 |
|  | 2.7 | 3.1 | 75 | 25 | 125 |
|  | 2.7 | 3.2 | 64 | 26 | 106 |
|  | 2.7 | 2.6 | 155 | 16 | 117 |
|  | 2.7 | 2.5 | 194 | 22 | 137 |
|  | 2.7 | 2.9 | 95 | 27 | 132 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.1 | 70 | 19 | 120 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.0 | 84 | 20 | 117 |
|  | 2.6 | 2.6 | 155 | 20 | 117 |
|  | 2.6 | 2.0 | 317 | 15 | 100 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.6 | 31 | 20 | 112 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.5 | 42 | 22 | 116 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.5 | 33 | 17 | 109 |
|  | 2.5 | 2.6 | 159 | 12 | 98 |
|  | 2.5 | 2.8 | 132 | 16 | 106 |
|  | 2.5 | 2.6 | 170 | 22 | 114 |
|  | 2.5 | 3.6 | 20 | 22 | 123 |
|  | 2.5 | 2.2 | 255 | 13 | 110 |
| Total | 67.0 | 73.0 | 3000 | 510 | 2897 |
| MEAN | 2.7 | 2.9 | 120 | 20.4 | 115.9 |
| MEDIAN | 2.7 | 2.9 | 95 | 20 | 117 |
| RAIVGE | 2.5-2.9 | 1.9-3.9 | 9-361 | 12-29 | 86-137 |

MALES

| 2.9 | 3.9 | 9 | 29 | 121 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.9 | 3.3 | 43 | 26 | 137 |
| 2.9 | 2.9 | 93 | 25 | 115 |
| 2.9 | 2.8 | 132 | 19 | 86 |
| 2.8 | 2.9 | 111 | 24 | 120 |
| 2.8 | 1.9 | 361 | 19 | 121 |
| 2.7 | 3.1 | 75 | 25 | 125 |
| 2.7 | 3.2 | 64 | 26 | 106 |
| 2.6 | 3.1 | 70 | 19 | 120 |
| 2.6 | 2.6 | 155 | 20 | 111 |

LEVEL III (continued)


FEMALES

|  | 2.8 | 2.9 | 104 | 16 | 124 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.8 | 3.0 | 86 | 18 | 120 |
|  | 2.7 | 2.6 | 155 | 16 | 117 |
|  | 2.7 | 2.5 | 194 | 22 | 137 |
|  | 2.7 | 2.9 | 95 | 27 | 132 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.0 | 84 | 20 | 111 |
|  | 2.6 | 2.0 | 317 | 15 | 100 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.6 | 31 | 20 | 112 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.5 | 33 | 17 | 109 |
|  | 2.5 | 2.6 | 159 | 12 | 98 |
|  | 2.5 | 2.8 | 132 | 16 | 106 |
|  | 2.5 | 2.6 | 170 | 22 | 114 |
|  | 2.5 | 3.6 | 20 | 22 | 123 |
| TOTAL | 34.1 | 37.6 | 1590 | 243 | 1509 |
| MEAN | 2.6 | 2.9 | 122.3 | 18.7 | 116.1 |
| MEDIAN | 2.6 | 2.9 | 104 | 18 | 117 |
| RANGE | 2.5-2.8 | 2.0-3.6 | 20-317 | 12-27 | 98-137 |


| COLLEGE | HIGH SCHOOL | CLASS | ACT | I.Q. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GPA | RANK | SCORE | SCORE |


|  |  |  | COMBIIVED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.4 | 2.2 | 258 |  |  |
|  | 2.4 | 3.7 | 25 | 15 | 117 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.6 | 15 | 24 | 127 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.2 | 23 | 16 | 120 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.6 | 57 | 25 | 123 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.0 | 25 | 23 | 119 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.2 | 61 | 18 | 109 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.1 | 74 | 13 | 98 137 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.7 | 151 | 25 15 | 137 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.9 | 96 | 21 | 120 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.1 | 302 | 23 | 114 |
|  | 2.3 | 3.3 | 48 | 18 | 104 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.8 | 123 | 21 | 108 |
|  | 2.2 | 2.9 | 98 | 11 | 99 |
|  | 2.2 | 2.5 | 182 | 21 | 134 |
|  | 2.2 | 2.8 | 129 | 15 | 105 |
|  | 2.2 | 2.1 | 290 | 14 | 93 |
|  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 306 | 23 | 134 |
|  | 2.1 | 3.0 | 87 | 26 | 137 |
|  | 2.1 | 3.1 | 77 | 11 | 101 |
|  | 2.1 | 2.5 | 181 | 20 | 112 |
|  | 2.1 | 2.6 | 164 | 16 | 108 |
|  | 2.0 | 2.8 | 123 | 12 | 95 |
| TCTAL | 52.0 | 65.8 | 2957 | 426 | 2623 |
| 1 ISAT | 2.3 | 2.9 | 128.6 | 18.5 | 114.1 |
| Tiduniv | 2.3 | 2.9 | 98 | 18 | 114 |
| RAJGE | 2.0-2. 1 | 2.1-3.7 | 15-306 | 11-26 | 93-137 |

## MALES

| 2.4 | 3.7 | 15 | 24 | 127 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.4 | 3.6 | 23 | 16 | 120 |
| 2.4 | 3.2 | 57 | 25 | 123 |
| 2.4 | 3.1 | 74 | 25 | 137 |
| 2.3 | 3.3 | 48 | 18 | 104 |
| 2.3 | 2.8 | 123 | 21 | 108 |
| 2.2 | 2.5 | 182 | 21 | 134 |
| 2.2 | 2.1 | 290 | 14 | 93 |
| 2.1 | 2.1 | 306 | 23 | 134 |
| 2.1 | 3.0 | 87 | 26 | 137 |
| 2.1 | 2.5 | 181 | 20 | 112 |

LEVEL IV (continued)


|  | 2.4 | 2.2 | 258 | 15 | 117 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.4 | 3.6 | 25 | 23 | 119 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.0 | 87 | 18 | 109 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.2 | 61 | 13 | 98 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.7 | 151 | 15 | 109 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.9 | 96 | 21 | 120 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.1 | 302 | 23 | 114 |
|  | 2.2 | 2.9 | 98 | 11 | 99 |
|  | 2.2 | 2.8 | 129 | 15 | 105 |
|  | 2.1 | 3.1 | 77 | 11 | 101 |
|  | 2.0 | 2.8 | 123 | 12 | 95 |
| TOTAL | 25.0 | 31.3 | 1407 | 177 | 1186 |
| IHAN | 2.3 | 2.8 | 127.9 | 16.1 | 107.8 |
| IIUDIAN | 2.3 | 2.9 | 98 | 15 | 109 |
| RAIVGE | 2.0-2.4 | 2.1-3.6 | 25-302 | 11-23 | 95-120 |

LEVEL V


|  |  | COMBINED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.9 | 1.8 | 378 |  |  |
| 1.9 | 2.6 | 378 | 15 | 109 |
| 1.9 | 2.6 | 155 | 18 | 106 |
| 1.9 | 2.3 | 173 | 16 | 107 |
| 1.9 | 2.5 | 196 | 21 | 117 |
| 1.9 | 2.1 | 196 | 13 | 99 |
| 1.9 | 2.5 | 188 | 9 | 96 |
| 1.9 | 3.2 | 108 54 | 16 | 103 |
| 1.8 1.8 | 2.3 | 227 | 12 16 | 121 |
| 1.8 | 2.0 | 315 | 18 | 121 |
| 1.8 | 2.2 2.3 | 263 | 16 | - 99 |
| 1.8 | 2.6 | 226 | 18 | 105 |
| 1.8 | 2.2 | 263 | 18 | 119 |
| 1.8 | 2.5 | 186 | 15 | 107 |
| 1.8 | 2.6 | 160 | 24 | 717 |
| 1.8 | 2.5 | 190 | 15 | 103 |
| 1.7 | 1.8 | 382 | 17 | 117 |
| 1.7 | 2.4 | 203 | 13 | 109 |
| 1.7 | 3.0 | 81 | 23 | 137 |
| 1.7 | 2.3 | 239 | 14 | 118 |
| 1.7 | 2.3 | 250 | 15 | 107 |
| 1.7 | 2.3 | 248 | 21 | 113 |
| 1.7 | 1.9 | 337 | 16 | 115 |
| 1.7 | 2.4 | 221 | 15 | 98 |
| 1.6 | 2.3 | 230 | 17 | 105 |
| 1.5 | 2.9 | 103 | 20 | 127 |
| 1.1 | 2.3 | 248 | 18 | 114 |
| 1.1 | 2.7 | 153 | 15 | 113 |
| TOTAL 50.3 | 69.4 | 6372 | 487 | 3184 |
| MEAN 1.7 | 2.4 | 219.7 | 16.8 | 109.8 |
| Mediain 1.8 | 2.4 | 226 | 16 | 109 |
| RAIVGE 1.1-1.9 | 1.8-3.2 | 54-382 | 9-24 | $94-137$ |

MALES

| 1.9 | 1.8 | 378 | 15 | 109 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.9 | 2.3 | 230 | 21 | 111 |
| 1.9 | 3.2 | 54 | 22 | 121 |
| 1.8 | 2.3 | 227 | 16 | 104 |
| 1.8 | 2.2 | 263 | 16 | 99 |
| 1.8 | 2.3 | 226 | 18 | 105 |

Li WEL V (continued)


## FEMALES



## APPEINDIX B

FORMAL APPRCVAL OF STUDY LETTERS

April 8, 1969

William H. Sanford, Director<br>Clarksville Montgomery<br>School System<br>1209 Madison Street<br>Clarksville, Tennessee 37040

Mr. Benjamin J. Daves
Box 6717
Austin Peay State University Clarksville, Tennessee 37040

Dear Mr. Daves:
You have my approval to use the cumulative records at Clarksville High School to obtain information for a research paper entitled "A Comparison Between Scholastic Achievement in University and High School Class Rank, ACT Scores, Grade Point Average, and I.Q. Scores".

This approval is given with the stipulation that you will not use the names of any students, staff members, or administrative personnel.

Sincerely,


William $A_{\text {. }}$ Sanford, firector
Board of Education

Mr. Benjamin J. Daves
Box 6717
Austin Peay State University
Clarksville, Tennessee 37040
Dear Mr. Daves:
You have my approval to use the cumulative records at Clarksville High School to obtain information for a research paper entitled "A Comparison Between Scholastic Achievement in University and High School Class Rank, ACT Scores, Grade Point Average, and I.Q. Scores".

This approval is given with the stipulation that you will not use the names of any students, staff members, or administrative personnel.
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